TOM DAVIS, VIRGINIA,

CHRISTOPHER SHAYS, CONNECTICUT DAN BURTON, INDIANA ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN M. MCHUGH, NEW YORK JOHN L. MIRCA, FLORIDA GIL GUTKNECHT, MINNESOTA MARK E. SOUDER, INDIANA STEVEN C. LATOURETTE, OHIO TODD RUSSELL PLATTS, PENNSYLVANIA CHRIS CANNON, UTAH JOHN J. DUNCAN, JR., TENNESSEE CANDICE MILLER, MICHIGAN MICHAEL R. TURNER, OHIO DARRELL ISSA, CALIFORNIA JON C. PORTER, NEVADA KENNY MARCHANT, TEXAS LYNN A. WESTMORELAND, GEORGIA PATRICK T. MCHENRY, NORTH CAROLINA CHARLES W. DENT, PENNSYLVANIA VIRGINIA FOXX, NORTH CAROLINA JEAN SCHMIDT, OHIO VACANCY

ONE HUNDRED NINTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM 2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

Washington, DC 20515-6143

MAJORITY (202) 225–5074 FACSIMILE (202) 225–3974 MINORITY (202) 225–5051

http://reform.house.gov

March 10, 2006

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA, RANKING MINORITY MEMBER

TOM LANTOS, CALIFORNIA
MAJOR R. OWENS, NEW YORK
EDOLPHUS TOWNS, NEW YORK
PAUL E. KANJORSKI, PENNSYLVANIA
CAROLYN B. MALONEY, NEW YORK
ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS, MARYLAND
DENNIS J. KUCINICH, OHIO
DANNY K. DAVIS, ILLINOIS
WM. LACY CLAY, MISSOURI
DIANE E. WATSON, CALIFORNIA
STEPHEN F. LYNCH, MASSACHUSETTS
CHRIS VAN HOLLEN, MARYLAND
LINDA T. SANCHEZ, CALIFORNIA
CA. DUTCH RUPPERSBERGER,
MARYLAND
BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK
ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BERNARD SANDERS, VERMONT, INDEPENDENT

Chris B. Pascal
Director
Office of Research Integrity
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Office of Research Integrity
1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 750
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Re: Subcommittee Hearing, "Human Cloning and Embryonic Stem Cell Research after

Seoul: Examining exploitation, fraud and ethical problems in the research"

Dear Mr. Pascal:

Thank you very much for your testimony on March 7, 2006 before the Subcommittee on Criminal Justice, Drug Policy and Human Resources. Due to the limited amount of time available for the hearing, however, we were unable to address all of the issues involved. To better help the Subcommittee understand these significant issues, we are submitting to you the attached list of questions for the record.

In order to help the Subcommittee move forward with its work on this subject, we request that you respond to these questions in writing no later than the close of business on Friday, April 7, 2006. Your answers will be included in the written record.

Thank you very much for your time and assistance. If you have any questions, you may have a member of your staff contact Malia Holst at 202-225-2577.

Sincerely,

Mark E. Souder

Chairman

Subcommittee on Criminal Justice,

Drug Policy and Human Resources

- 1. It appears that a large grant to University of Pittsburgh researcher Gerald Schatten was made with at least some consideration of what was still thought to be successful Korean research, in that the Korean research is mentioned several times in Schatten's grant application.
 - What is the process for revisiting federal grant awards when they appear to be based upon questionable or fraudulent claims?
 - Is ORI in any way reviewing the grants made to Schatten at the University of Pittsburgh?
- 2. You mentioned in your written testimony that when fraud is suspected, an investigation is initiated and conducted at the grantee institution, which must report to ORI when they decide to proceed with a formal investigation, or when admissions are made by accused researchers. This seems to present a conflict of interest for the grantee institution, which investigates one of its own.
 - Are there any meaningful safeguards in the process to ensure that grantee institutions aren't whitewashing or outright ignoring scientific misconduct when they are acting as the first level of investigation into potential fraud?